

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

Since the City of Lincoln's Draft 2050 General Plan (Proposed Project) and Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) were distributed on October 3, 2006 for public review, the City has received a number of written comments from public agencies, organizations, and individuals concerning these documents and the recommendations and findings they contain. In addition, the City of Lincoln distributed a Recirculated Draft EIR on July 16, 2007 for public review and received several additional comments. The Recirculated Draft EIR contained revised sections on agricultural resources (Chapter 4), biological resources (Section 7.6) and a new section on greenhouse gas emissions (Section 8.9).

A Lead Agency is required to prepare a Final EIR before considering the Proposed Project. The City of Lincoln has therefore prepared this Final Program EIR for the Draft 2050 General Plan. As required by CEQA Guidelines Section 15132, this Final EIR includes:

- A list of persons, organizations, and public agencies commenting on the Draft (program-level EIR) and the Draft Recirculated EIR.
- Comments and recommendations received on the Draft (program-level EIR) and the Draft Recirculated EIR either verbatim or in summary.
- The responses of the Lead Agency to significant environmental points raised in the review and consultation process.
- Revisions to the Draft EIR

Project Overview

The Draft 2050 General Plan (Proposed Project) is intended to address several changes in the City since preparation of the existing General Plan as amended. Consequently, the Proposed Project, which establishes a planning framework and policies for a 45-year planning period, will replace the existing General Plan.

State law requires each county and city to prepare and adopt a comprehensive and long-range general plan for its physical development (Government Code Section 65300). Each general plan

must address the seven topics (referred to as “elements”) of land use, circulation, housing, open-space, conservation, safety, and noise as identified in State law (Government Code Section 65302), to the extent that the topics are locally relevant. It may also include other topics of local interest, as chosen by the City (Government Code Section 65303). The City has chosen to adopt a general plan that includes the mandatory elements as well as two additional elements, Economic Development and Public Facilities and Services.

Based on community input received during the public participation process and an analysis of existing conditions in the city, the following guiding principles were used to develop the goals, policies, and implementation programs for the Draft 2050 General Plan as well as the Draft Land Use and Circulation Diagram. The six primary guiding principles were identified as Community Design, Economic Development, Fiscal Sustainability, State Route 65 Bypass Corridor, City Sphere of Influence, and Regional Growth Projections.

Project Objectives

The Draft 2050 General Plan presents several key objectives that were identified and considered by the Steering Committee based on input by public, key stakeholders and City staff. These objectives include the following:

- Provide a comprehensive update to the City’s existing General Plan to deal more effectively with contemporary issues facing the City of Lincoln;
- Develop a general plan that provides for the orderly development of the City with a 2050 planning horizon and projected growth that is both economic sustainable (120,000 to 130,000) and corresponds to the SACOG Blueprint Transportation and Land Use study;
- Maintain a cohesive City development pattern that focuses new urban development in a “Village” pattern, while encouraging existing neighborhood revitalization;
- Encourage the preservation and maintenance of open space areas (40% or greater) within developing “Village” areas;
- Concentrate and enhance commercial uses in strategic locations, primarily at the City’s major intersections and along the Highway 65 Bypass corridor; and
- Provide for sufficient land around the City limits for new and expanding employment opportunities that focus on safe and clean technology, office, and commercial-related employment centers that ensure the economic vitality of the City and allow for the continued provision of high quality public services to City residents.

Buildout under the Draft 2050 General Plan

Full development under the Proposed Project is referred to as “buildout.” This section provides a brief summary of the implications of Draft 2050 General Plan buildout. Please see Chapter 2 “Project Description” of the Draft EIR for additional information specific to the various land use categories proposed under the Draft 2050 General Plan and a discussion of the future population and housing units proposed for the City. Under the Draft Land Use and Circulation Diagram, adequate land is provided by this Draft General Plan to accommodate anticipated housing and employment needs through 2050.

Table ES-1 provides a list of the designated land uses proposed for the Draft Land Use and Circulation Diagram along with an estimate of acreage attributed to each land use category. The table also identifies the proposed increase in acreage over the City’s existing General Plan. Low density residential accounts for the primary residential use (7,610 acres). Commercial (including Neighborhood Commercial) land uses account for 2,300 acres and Industrial (including Industrial Planned Development) land uses account for 2,900 acres. The Draft Land Use and Circulation Diagram would also include an estimated 13,130 acres of open space/agricultural land. An additional 1,530 acres parks and public designated land will be located in the City’s planning area.

**TABLE ES-1
COMPARISON OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND THE CURRENT GENERAL PLAN**

Land Use Designations	Proposed Project	Current General Plan	Proposed Increase
Residential			
Rural Residential	1,190 acres	0 acres	1,190 acres
Country Estates	1,350 acres	0 acres	1,350 acres
Low-Density Residential	7,610 acres	4,080 acres	3,530 acres
Medium-Density Residential	1,740 acres	370 acres	1,370 acres
High-Density Residential	750 acres	150 acres	600 acres
Commercial/Industrial			
Neighborhood Commercial	180 acres	30 acres	150 acres
Commercial	2,120 acres	280 acres	1,840 acres
Business Park	510 acres	80 acres	430 acres
Industrial	1,240 acres	610 acres	630 acres
Industrial Planned Development	1,660 acres	980 acres	680 acres
Agriculture/Open Space			
Agriculture	430 acres	4,910 acres	(4,480 acres)
Open Space (1)	12,700 acres	3,080 acres	9,620 acres
Public/Other			
Park	690 acres	270 acres	420 acres
Public	840 acres	500 acres	340 acres
Future Expansion Area (or) Urban Reserve	0 acres	910 acres	(910 acres)
Total Area	33,010 acres	16,250 acres	
Total Population	132,000	66,500	

Notes: (1) Total includes conservation easement and wetland preservation areas.

Under the Proposed Project, the Draft Land Use and Circulation Diagram (including its assumptions related to building densities) consists of various land use designations and includes several potential transportation improvements as well as identification of the location of various Villages and development areas (Special Use Districts). New residential areas are primarily proposed to occur in mixed use Villages that include an elementary school, neighborhood commercial and park as well as a variety of residential densities. The concept for the villages are based on land use formulas that promotes individual designs that are intended to embody features that encourage transit and pedestrian circulation.

General Plan Update Process

The City has been experiencing a considerable amount of growth (approximately 4,500 persons per year over the last five years), with the result that the City Council determined the need to update the City’s existing General Plan. Consequently, the City Council directed City staff to prepare a program to update the existing General Plan during the fall of 2000.

Beginning in January 2001, City staff prepared a draft Work Program for updating the City’s General Plan. Because of a State statutory deadline of June 2002 for an updated Housing Element, City staff recommended an update to the Housing Element should be completed first followed by updates to the remaining General Plan Elements. In July 2001, the City Council approved a Work Program to update the General Plan.

A chronology of the activities leading to preparation and certification of the Housing Element are summarized in Table ES-2.

**TABLE ES-2
SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES LEADING TO CERTIFICATION OF THE CITY’S HOUSING ELEMENT**

Month/Year	Activity
September 2001	- City Council approved a contract with consultant
November 2001	- City conducts a public workshop regarding the scope of the Housing Element
April 2002	- Draft Housing Element submitted to the California Housing and Community Development Department (HCD) for mandatory 60 day review period.
June 2002	- HCD sends comments.
July 2002	- Negative declaration circulated for a 30 day public review period.
August 2002	- Staff revise draft Housing Element to address HCD comments. - Planning Commission conducts a public hearing and recommends approval of the draft Housing Element.
September 2002	- City Council approves the Housing Element.
October 2002	- Housing Element submitted to HCD for mandatory 90 day review/certification
January 2003	- HCD provides additional comments. - City staff prepares revisions to Housing Element in response to additional HCD comments.
September 2003	- Planning Commission conducts a public hearing on revised Housing Element.
November 2003	- City Council conducts a public hearing on the Planning Commission’s recommendation and approves the Housing Element (Resolution 2003-231).
January 2004	- HCD certifies Housing Element.

In January 2002, the City Council approved a budget and contracts for the preparation of the General Plan Update project. The City Council also appointed a General Plan Update Steering Committee, which consisted of a: council member, planning commissioner, parks & recreation commissioner, economic development committee member, a school board member, and two citizens to help direct the preparation of the Draft 2050 General Plan.

A summary of the various public workshops conducted by the General Plan Update Steering Committee (GPU Steering Committee) are provided in Table ES-3.

**TABLE ES-3
SUMMARY OF GPU STEERING COMMITTEE WORKSHOPS CONDUCTED TO DATE**

Date	Workshop Number and Topics
February 27, 2002	#1 – Purpose and overview of the General Plan Update and EIR.
May 22, 2002	#2 – Identification of issues and opportunities.
June 26, 2002	#3 – Economic opportunities.
December 16, 2002	#4 – Review of draft General Plan Background Report.
October 16, 2003	#5 – Review of land use concepts and new circulation roadway options.
October 30, 2003	#6 – Review of draft General Plan Goals & Policies.
November 20, 2003	#7 – Review land use concepts report and draft Goals & Policies Report.
February 9, 2004	#8 – Economic sustainability with direction for a population of 120,000 and 35% open space
April 6, 2004	#9 – Village concepts with direction for 40% open space.
August 4, 2004	SACOG presentation of Blueprint Plan to City Council, Planning Commission, Steering Committee and public.
December 6, 2004	#10 – Review constraints analysis for Village concepts with direction to prepare draft Goals & Policies Report and Draft EIR.
May 31, 2005	#11 – Presentation of revisions to Villages and Development Areas as well as review revisions to Draft Goals & Policies Report.
June 20, 2005	#12 – Presentation of land use changes within the existing City limits, review changes in the Planning Area boundaries, review revisions to Goals & Policies Report.
August 10, 2005	#13 – Finalized GPU planning boundaries and review revisions to Draft Goals & Policies Report.

During the months of August 2005 to October 2005, City staff and the General Plan consultants revised the Draft Goals & Policies Report in response to the GPU Steering Committee direction. Additionally, between August 2005 and August 2006, City staff and the General Plan consultants updated the General Plan Background Report in response to direction from the GPU Steering Committee.

Recently, the City Council and the Planning Commission held several joint public workshops and public hearings on the Draft 2050 General Plan and EIR. These public workshops and hearings are identified in Table ES-4.

**TABLE ES-4
SUMMARY OF DRAFT GENERAL PLAN PUBLIC WORKSHOPS, HEARINGS, AND REVIEW PERIODS**

Date	Workshop Topics
January 17, 2006	Public scoping meeting on analysis to be completed in the Draft EIR for the General Plan Update.
January 19, 2006	Public workshop on “How large should Lincoln be?” in 2050 regarding the future area and population.
January 26, 2006	Public workshop for presentation of Draft General Plan and Village concept.
February 9, 2006	Public workshop for presentation of infrastructure requirements (water, drainage, wastewater treatment, and transportation) for the Draft General Plan Update.
April 4, 2006	Public hearing on the Draft 2050 General Plan.
October 3, 2006	Public Review period for Draft EIR on the Draft 2050 General Plan begins.
November 16, 2006	Draft EIR comment period closed.
November 21, 2006	Public hearing on Draft 2050 General Plan regarding background, regional growth, fiscal sustainability, and overview of the land use plan.
January 10, 2007	Public hearing on the Draft 2050 General Plan regarding the Public Facilities Element with detail presentation on water supply, drainage, and wastewater treatment.
January 30, 2007	Public hearing on the Draft 2050 General Plan regarding Economic Development and Land use Elements including community design and village concepts.
February 14, 2007	Public hearing on the Draft 2050 General Plan regarding the Open Space & Conservation and Health & Safety Elements.
March 7, 2007	Public hearing on the Draft 2050 General Plan regarding the Transportation & Circulation Element.
April 4, 2007	Public hearing on requested changes to the Draft 2050 General Plan.
July 16, 2007	Public Review period for Recirculated Draft EIR on the Draft 2050 General Plan begins.
August 29, 2007	Recirculated Draft EIR comment period closed.

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Table ES-5 presents a summary of impacts and proposed mitigation measures (General Plan policies) that would further avoid or minimize potential impacts. It is organized to correspond with the environmental issues discussed throughout the EIR. The table is arranged in four columns: 1) environmental impacts; 2) mitigation measures; 3) significance before mitigation; and 4) significance after mitigation. In addition, any revisions to the mitigation measures are shown in underline (added text) and strikethrough (deleted text). Chapter 6.0 “Changes and Edits to the Draft and Recirculated Draft EIR” of this Final EIR provides additional information.

**TABLE ES-5
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)						Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
CHAPTER 3 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT								
Impact ED-1	The Proposed Project would include retail services that would compete with existing businesses in the City's Downtown area.			Implement General Plan policies designed to maximize the City's potential for economic development and growth while supporting the City's existing downtown commercial area: ED-1.1 Develop and Implement a Vision of the Future ED-1.2 Evaluate Fiscal Impacts ED-1.5 Regional Cooperation ED-6.1 Downtown Commercial Area ED-6.2 Business Retention and Expansion ED-6.4 Infill and Replacement Housing in Downtown Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the protection of the City's historic character including the "Downtown" area and traditional neighborhood areas: LU-1.5 Infill Development LU-1.9 Existing Assets LU-2.2 Preserve and Enhance Quality LU-2.4 Encourage Upgrading LU-2.5 Protect Historic Structures LU-4.3 Protect Existing and Future Neighborhoods LU-13.1 Downtown LU-13.3 Historic Buildings and Areas OSC-6.1 Evaluation of Historic Resources OSC-6.2 Historic Structures and Sites OSC-6.5 Mitigation Monitoring for Historical Resources OSC-6.6 State Historic Building Code Implementation Measure #5			LS	
Impact ED-2	The Proposed Project includes community and regional commercial development that may cumulatively impact regional commercial development in areas surrounding the City's Sphere of Influence.			Implement General Plan policies designed to maximize the City's potential for economic development and growth while supporting both local and regional economic goals: ED-1.1 Develop and Implement a Vision of the Future ED-1.2 Evaluate Fiscal Impacts ED-1.5 Regional Cooperation			S	LS

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
					<p>New Policy ED-6.8 Urban Decay. The City recognizes and supports downtown retail development as part of the City's downtown revitalization strategy. The City also recognizes the importance of healthy neighborhood retail centers throughout the City to meet the shopping needs of Lincoln's population. As Specific Plans with retail and/or commercial land uses are submitted for approval, the City will analyze the potential for local urban decay and regional blight. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i></p> <p>Revised Policy ED-1.5 Regional Cooperation. The City will work cooperatively with other cities, Placer County, and other local and regional economic development entities activities to expand and improve the economic base of the <u>South Placer County community</u>, while addressing the potential for both local and regional urban decay resulting from new growth. <i>[Revised Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i></p>		
CHAPTER 4 LAND USE							
Impact LU-1	The Proposed Project could divide the physical arrangement of an established community.				<p>Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize any potential impact of dividing the physical arrangement of an established community by ensuring that growth occurs in an organized manner:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LU-9.4 Linkages LU-14.1 Subdivisions LU-15.5 Connectivity LU-15.7 Roads Support Adjacent Land Use LU-15.10 Area Infrastructure Master Plans ED-2.1 Utilize Specific Plans <p>Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the protection of the City's historic character including the "Downtown" area and traditional neighborhood areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LU-1.5 Infill Development LU-1.9 Existing Assets LU-2.2 Preserve and Enhance Quality LU-2.4 Encourage Upgrading LU-2.5 Protect Historic Structures 	PS	LS

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact			Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)			Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation	
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable		
				LU-4.3 Protect Existing and Future Neighborhoods LU-13.1 Downtown LU-13.3 Historic Buildings and Areas OSC-6.1 Evaluation of Historic Resources OSC-6.2 Historic Structures and Sites OSC-6.5 Mitigation Monitoring for Historical Resources OSC-6.6 State Historic Building Code Implementation Measure #5 Implement General Plan policies designed to promote compatible development within areas that minimize impacts to surrounding land uses: LU-2.1 Prevent Incompatible Uses LU-2.7 Compatible Uses LU-3.5 Mitigate Land Use Conflicts ED-4.6 Regional Commercial Transportation Implementation Measure #7 Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize a variety of land use compatibility issues associated with the Lincoln Regional Airport: ED-5.2 Designate Land for Business Aviation Industry LU-2.10 Airport Buffer LU-5.1 Rural Land Uses T-6.2 Runway Expansion HS-4.1 Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan HS-4.2 Compliance with FAA Regulations HS-4.3 Development in Airport Vicinity HS-8.6 Development Around Airport				
				New Policy LU-7.2 City/County Uniform Land Use Policy. The City shall work with Placer County to develop a process for coordination of land uses for areas within the City's future sphere of influence. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR]</i>				
				New Policy LU-7.3 Regional Planning. The City shall continue to participate in the Sacramento Area Council of Governments' regional planning programs and shall coordinate City plans and programs with those of the Council of Governments. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR].</i>				

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
						Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B
Impact LU-2	Development proposed by the Draft General Plan could conflict with an adopted applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect	New Policy LU-4.5 Adjacent Uses and Access. The City shall discourage industrial development in locations where access conflicts with residential land uses. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR].</i>					
		New Policy LU-13.9 Cultural and Historic Resources Protection. The City shall provide code enforcement that protects the cultural and historic value of existing places and buildings. Code enforcement guidelines should address demolition by neglect, inappropriate renovations, lack of maintenance, overgrown landscaping, and inappropriate storage. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR].</i>					
		Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize any potential conflicts with adopted applicable land use plans, policies, or regulations of an agency with jurisdiction over the project through the continued participation in programs involving regional growth issues: LU-15.4 Village Land Use Design T-1.2 Coordinate with Caltrans T-1.3 Coordinate with SACOG T-1.4 Coordinate with Placer County HS-4.1 Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan HS-4.2 Compliance with FAA Regulations Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued coordination with Federal, State, and other local agencies (regulatory and non-regulatory) responsible for addressing regional environmental issues: OSC-1.2 Coordinate with Placer County for Open Space Preservation OSC-5.3 HS-3.1 Cooperation with Local and Regional Agencies HS-3.2 Regional Agency Review of Development Proposals HS-3.3 Placer County Air Quality Attainment Plan HS-3.4 Transportation Demand Management HS-9.1 Emergency Response Plan HS-9.2 Coordinate Emergency Response Services with Local Agencies HS-9.4 Coordinate with Placer County				PS	SU

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
					New Policy LU-7.2		
					City/County Uniform Land Use Policy. The City shall work with Placer County to develop a process for coordination of land uses for areas within the City's future sphere of influence. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR]</i>		
					New Policy LU-7.3		
					Regional Planning. The City shall continue to participate in the Sacramento Area Council of Governments' regional planning programs and shall coordinate City plans and programs with those of the Council of Governments. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR].</i>		
Impact LU-3	The Proposed Project could conflict with an applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued participation in regional habitat conservation planning efforts: OSC-1.2 Coordinate with Placer County for Open Space Preservation OSC-4.1 Identify and Protect Aquifers OSC-5.3 Placer Legacy Open Space and Conservation Program Implementation Measure #1 Implementation Measure #2 Implementation Measure #3	LS	
Impact LU-4	The Proposed Project could result in a substantial conversion of important farmland to non-agricultural uses.				Implement General Plan policies designed to protect agricultural activities (including Important Farmlands): LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture LU-5.4 Agricultural Buffers OSC-2.1 Agricultural Buffers Implementation Measure #7 New Policy OSC-2.3	PS	SU
					Coordinate with Neighboring City/County Agricultural Objectives. The City shall support policies adopted by neighboring cities and Placer County to promote the viability of agriculture the county. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR]</i>		

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation	
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
Impact LU-5	The Proposed Project could conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or conflict with existing Williamson Act contracts.					Implement General Plan policies designed to protect agricultural activities and zoning (including Williamson Act contracts): LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture LU-5.4 Agricultural Buffers LU-9.6 Maintain Urban Edge OSC-2.1 Agricultural Buffers	LS	
Impact LU-6	The Proposed Project could involve other changes in the existing environment, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Important Farmland, to non-agricultural uses.					Implement General Plan policies designed to protect agricultural land uses from “edge effects” or other indirect effects: LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture LU-5.4 Agricultural Buffers LU-5.5 Agricultural Disclosure LU-9.6 Maintain Urban Edge OSC-2.1 Agricultural Buffers OSC-2.2 Agricultural Disclosures Implementation Measure #7	LS	
CHAPTER 5 TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION – Existing Plus Project Conditions								
Impact TC-1	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Lincoln roadways.		TC-1a			Construct second through lanes on the northbound and southbound approaches and a second left turn lane to the westbound approach to improve the intersection of Fiddymont Road and Athens Road to LOS B (V/C 0.62).	PS	LS
			TC-1a			Construct second through lane on both the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Industrial Avenue and Athens Road to LOS C (V/C 0.75).		
Impact TC-2	The Proposed Project would result in an increase in vehicular traffic on roadways in unincorporated Placer County.		TC-2a			Construct second through lanes on the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Fiddymont Road and Sunset Boulevard West to LOS A.	PS	SU
			TC-2b			Construct second through lanes on the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Industrial Avenue and Placer Corporate Drive to LOS B.		
Impact TC-3	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Rocklin roadways.		TC-3a			Construct a second left turn lane on the eastbound approach to improve the intersection of Sioux Street and Sunset Boulevard to LOS B.	PS	SU

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
				TC-3b	Construct second through lanes on the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Sierra College Boulevard and Granite Drive to LOS A.		
Impact TC-4	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on Town of Loomis roadways.			TC-4	Construct a second through lane on both the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Sierra College Boulevard and Taylor Street to LOS B.	PS	SU
Impact TC-5	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Roseville roadways.			TC-5a	Construct the following improvement that is part of the City of Roseville's 2020 Capital Improvement Program (CIP).	PS	SU
				TC-5b	Construct a third through lane on the southbound approach to improve the intersection of Foothills Boulevard and Pleasant Grove Road to LOS C.		
Impact TC-6	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on Sutter County roadways.					LS	
Impact TC-7	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on State highways.			TC-7a	Construct Highway 65 Wheatland Bypass to 4 lanes from Rancho Road to Riosa Road.	PS	SU
				TC-7b	Construct Highway 65 to 6 lanes from Blue Oaks Boulevard to I-80.		
Impact TC-8	The Proposed Project would generate a demand for transit services and may result in unmet transit needs.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the development of additionally required transit service and facility needs: T-4.1 Public Transit T-4.2 Regional Transit T-4.3 Promote Public Transit T-4.4 Funding for Public Transit T-4.5 ADA Compatible Transit T-4.6 Expansion of Transit Service Areas	PS	SU
Impact TC-9	The Proposed Project would increase the demand for recreational and transportation related bicycle facilities.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the development of additionally required transit service and facility needs: T-4.1 Public Transit T-4.2 Regional Transit T-4.3 Promote Public Transit T-4.4 Funding for Public Transit T-4.5 ADA Compatible Transit T-4.6 Expansion of Transit Service Areas	LS	

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact			Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)			Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
TRANSPORATION AND CIRCULATION – Cumulative (2050) Conditions							
Impact TC-10	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Lincoln roadways.	TC-10	Implement the Mitigated Transportation Network which includes widening Fiddymment Road to six lanes from Athens Avenue to Placer Parkway and extending Dowd Road from Athens Avenue to University Boulevard.		PS	SU	
Impact TC-11	The Proposed Project would result in an increase in vehicular traffic on roadways in unincorporated Placer County.		Implement Mitigation Measure TC-10.		PS	SU	
		TC-11a	Construct separate left and right turn lanes on the westbound approach to improve the intersection of Sierra College Boulevard and English Colony Way to Los E.				
		TC-11b	Construct separate left and right turn lanes on the eastbound approach and a second left turn lane on the northbound approach to improve the intersection of Fiddymment Road and Sunset Boulevard West to LOS F (V/C 1.04).				
		TC-11c	Construct a second left turn lane on the eastbound approach to improve the intersection of Bickford Ranch Road and Sierra College Boulevard to LOS C .				
		TC-11d	Construct a free right turn lane on the westbound approach to improve the intersection of Fiddymment Road and Placer Ranch Road to LOS F (V/C 1.12).				
		TC-11e	Construct a third through lane on the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Foothills Road and the westbound ramp of Placer Parkway to LOS D.				
		TC-11f	Construct a third through lane on the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Foothills Road and the eastbound ramp of Placer Parkway to LOS D.				
		TC-11g	Construct a free right turn lane on the westbound approach to improve the intersection of Foothills Road and Placer Ranch Road to LOS C.				

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
				TC-11h	Construct a second through lane, left turn lanes and right turn lanes on the eastbound and westbound approaches, and a second left turn lane on the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Dowd Road and Sunset Boulevard West to LOS D.		
				TC-11i	Extend Placer Ranch Road to the Dowd Road extension and build the intersection with the required number of lanes to improve the intersection of Dowd Road and University Boulevard.		
Impact TC-12	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Rocklin roadways.				Implement Mitigation Measure TC-10.	PS	SU
				TC-12	Construct a second through lane on the northbound approach to improve the intersection of Sioux Street and Stanford Ranch Road to LOS C.		
Impact TC-13	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on Town of Loomis roadways.					LS	
Impact TC-14	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Roseville roadways.			TC-14	Construct a road parallel to the Dowd Road Extension to mitigate the intersection of West Side Drive and Blue Oaks Boulevard.	PS	SU
Impact TC-15	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on Sutter County roadways.				Implement Mitigation Measure TC-10.	PS	SU
Impact TC-16	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on State highways.			TC-16a	Construct Highway 65 Wheatland Bypass to 4 lanes from South Beale Road to Riosa Road.	PS	SU
				TC-16b	Construct Highway 65 to 8 lanes from Industrial Avenue to I-80.		
				TC-16c	Construct Highway 65 to 8 lanes from Ferrari Ranch Road to Industrial Avenue.		
				TRANSPORATION AND CIRCULATION – Cumulative Conditions without Placer Parkway			
Impact TC-17	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Lincoln roadways.				Implement Mitigation Measure TC-10.	PS	SU

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation	
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
Impact TC-18	The Proposed Project would result in an increase in vehicular traffic on roadways in unincorporated Placer County.				TC-17	Construct a right turn lane to the northbound approach to improve the intersection of old State Route 65 and McBean Park Drive to LOS D.		
						Implement Mitigation Measure TC-10.	PS	SU
					TC-18a	Construct Nicolaus Road to 4 lanes from Sutter County line to Lincoln City limits.		
					TC-18b	Construct Brewer Road to 4 lanes from Catlett Road to Baseline Road.		
					TC-18c	Construct a left turn lane on the eastbound and westbound approaches to improve the intersection of McCourtney Road and Wise Road to LOS C.		
					TC-18d	Construct separate left and right turn lanes on the westbound approach to improve the intersection of Sierra College Boulevard and English Colony Way to LOS E (V/C 0.92).		
					TC-18e	Construct a second left turn lane on the eastbound approach to improve the intersection of Bickford Ranch Road and Sierra College Boulevard to LOS C.		
					TC-18f	Construct a free right turn lane on the westbound approach to improve the intersection of Fiddyment Road and Placer Ranch Road to LOS E.		
Impact TC-19	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Rocklin roadways.				TC-18g	Construct a second through lane, left turn lanes and right turn lanes on the eastbound and westbound approaches, and a second left turn lane on the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Dowd Road and Sunset Boulevard West to LOS E.		
					TC-18h	Extend Placer Ranch Road to Dowd Road and provide the required number of lane at the intersection to improve the intersection of Dowd Road and University Boulevard.		
						Implement Mitigation Measure TC-10.	PS	SU
			TC-19	Construct a second through lane on the northbound approach to improve the intersection of Sioux Street and Stanford Ranch Road to LOS C.				

TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
Impact TC-20	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on Town of Loomis roadways.			TC-20	Construct a second left turn lane on the southbound approach to improve the intersection of Sierra College Boulevard with King Road to LOS C.	PS	SU
Impact TC-21	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Roseville roadways.				Implement Mitigation Measure TC-10.	PS	SU
				TC-21	Construct a road parallel to the Dowd Road Extension to mitigate the intersection of West Side Drive and Blue Oaks Boulevard.		
Impact TC-22	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on Sutter County roadways.				Implement Mitigation Measure TC-10.	PS	SU
				TC-22	Construct Pleasant Grove Road to 4 lanes south of Catlett Road.		
Impact TC-23	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on State highways.				Implement Mitigation Measure TC-10, TC-16a, TC-16b, and TC-16c.	PS	SU
	TRANSPORATION AND CIRCULATION – 2025 Plus Project Conditions						
Impact TC-24	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Lincoln roadways.			TC-24a	Construct a right turn lane to the northbound approach to improve the intersection of old State Route 65 and McBean Park Drive to LOS D.	PS	LS
				TC-24b	Construct a second left lane to the southbound approach to improve the intersection of Fiddymont Road and Moore Road to LOS C.		
				TC-24c	Construct a third through lane to the northbound and southbound approaches, a right turn lane to the northbound approach, and a second left turn lane to the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Fiddymont Road and Athens Avenue to LOS D.		
				TC-24d	Construct a second through lane to the northbound and southbound approaches and a second left turn lane to the eastbound approach to improve the intersection of Industrial Avenue and Athens Avenue to LOS C.		

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact			Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)			Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
Impact TC-25	The Proposed Project would result in an increase in vehicular traffic on roadways in unincorporated Placer County.		TC-24e	Construct a second left turn lane to the northbound and eastbound approaches to improve the intersection of Foothill Boulevard and Athens Avenue to LOS C.			
			TC-25a	Construct Nicolaus Road to 4 lanes. (As an alternative to these mitigation measures the construction of Placer Parkway, and Dowd Road between Catlett Road and Blue Oak Boulevard would reduce the impacts to a less than significant level.)	PS	SU	
			TC-25b	Construct Catlett Road to 4 lanes East of Brewer Road. As an alternative to these mitigation measures the construction of Placer Parkway, and Dowd Road between Catlett Road and Blue Oak Boulevard would reduce the impacts to a less than significant level.)			
			TC-25c	Construct Brewer Road to 4 lanes South of Catlett Road. (As an alternative to these mitigation measures the construction of Placer Parkway, and Dowd Road between Catlett Road and Blue Oak Boulevard would reduce the impacts to a less than significant level.)			
			TC-25d	Construct a left turn lanes on the eastbound and westbound approaches to improve the intersection of McCourtney Road and Wise Road to LOS C.			
			TC-25e	Construct separate left and right turn lanes on the westbound approach to improve the intersection of Sierra College Boulevard and English Colony Way to LOS F (V/C 1.00).			
			TC-25f	Construct a third through lane on the northbound and southbound approaches, a separate left and right turn lanes on the eastbound approach and a second left turn lane on the northbound approach to improve the intersection of Fiddymont Road and Sunset Boulevard West to LOS C.			
			TC-25g	Construct a second through lane on the northbound and southbound approaches, and a second left turn lane on the southbound approach to improve the intersection of Industrial Avenue and Placer Corporate Drive to LOS A.			

TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
				TC-25h	Construct a third through lane on the eastbound and westbound approaches and a second left turn lane on the eastbound approach to improve the intersection of Bickford Ranch Road and Sierra College Boulevard to LOS D.		
Impact TC-26	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Rocklin roadways.			TC-26a	Construct a second right turn lane on the westbound approach to improve the intersection of Stanford Ranch Road and Sunset Boulevard to LOS D.	PS	SU
				TC-26b	Construct a second through lane on the northbound approach to improve the intersection of Sioux Street and Stanford Ranch Road to LOS D.		
				TC-26c	Construct a third through turn lane on the southbound approach to improve the intersection of Park Drive and Sunset Boulevard to LOS E.		
				TC-26d	Construct a third through lane on the northbound and southbound approach to improve the intersection of Sierra College Boulevard and Granite Drive to LOS C.		
				TC-26e	Construct a second left turn lane on the eastbound approach to improve the intersection of Sierra College Boulevard and Valley View Parkway to LOS D.		
Impact TC-27	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on Town of Loomis roadways.			TC-27	Construct a third through lane on the northbound and southbound approaches to improve the intersection of Sierra College Boulevard with Taylor Road to LOS D.	PS	SU
Impact TC-28	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on City of Roseville roadways.			TC-28	Construct a third through lane on the northbound approach to improve the intersection of Foothills Boulevard and Blue Oaks Boulevard to LOS F (1.03).	PS	SU
Impact TC-29	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on Sutter County roadways.			TC-29	Construct Pleasant Grove Road to 4 lanes South of Catlett Road.	PS	SU
Impact TC-30	The Proposed Project would result in a substantial increase in vehicular traffic on State highways.				Implement Mitigation Measure TC-10 and TC-16a.	PS	SU
				TC-30	Construct Highway 65 to 6 lanes from Industrial Avenue to I-80.		
				TC-30	Construct Highway 65 to 6 lanes from Nelson Road to Industrial Avenue.		

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
CHAPTER 6 PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES							
Impact PFS-1	The Proposed Project would require or result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the development of new facilities that address all applicable public safety and environmental concerns: LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture LU-11.3 Control of Light and Glare OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources Implementation Measure #1 HS-3.8 Air Quality Analysis HS-8.1 Sensitive Receptors HS-8.2 Protect Residential Areas HS-8.9 Noise Compatibility Guidelines	PS	SU
Impact PFS-2	The Proposed Project would require new or expanded water supply entitlements.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the early identification of required infrastructure and the orderly construction and rehabilitation of the facilities needed to serve existing and planned urban areas: PFS-1.1 Maintain Adequate Public Services PFS-1.2 Annexation Requirements PFS-2.1 Reliable Supply of Water PFS-2.3 Adequate Water Supply for New Development PFS-2.5 Development in Annexation Areas PFS-2.6 Coordinate with PCWA and NID PFS-2.13 Connection Fees PFS-2.14 Development Requirements Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the provision and conservation of water resources and service: PFS-2.16 Water Conservation Program PFS-2.17 Water Conservation Measures for New Development	PS	LS

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation	
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
Impact PFS-3 The Proposed Project would have the potential in the long-term to deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table.				New Implementation Measure #11	The City shall actively participate in appropriate forums designed to discuss and solve regional water supply and water quality issues. <i>[New Implementation – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>		
					Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize impacts to existing groundwater supplies and quality: OSC-4.2 Development Groundwater Management Plan OSC-4.3 Protect Surface Water and Groundwater OSC-4.5 Best Management Practices Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the provision and conservation of water resources and service: PFS-2.16 Water Conservation Program PFS-2.17 Water Conservation Measures for New Development	PS	LS
Impact PFS-4 The Proposed Project would not result in the exceedance of wastewater treatment requirements of the CVRWQCB.				New Policy PFS-2.19	PFS-2.19 Regional Sustainability of Groundwater Supplies. The City shall work in concert with the County of Placer, other cities and local water purveyors to share groundwater data, develop a mutually beneficial Integrated Regional Water Resources Management Program, define the long-term sustainability of the groundwater basin, and work to manage groundwater uses in ways that facilitate the basin's sustainability. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>		
				New Policy PFS-1.4	PFS-1.4 Compliance with Federal and State Standards for Surface Water Protection. The City shall comply with the requirements of the Clean Water Act and other regulations with the intent of minimizing the discharge of pollutants to surface waters. <i>[New policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>	LS	
				New Policy PFS-3.11	PFS-3.11 Wastewater Treatment Standards. The City shall continue to take actions necessary to meet water quality discharge standards in the operation of the WWTRF. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>		

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
			New Implementation Measure #11	See Implementation Measure #11 above.			
Impact PFS-5	The Proposed Project would require or result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.			Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the development of new facilities that address all applicable public safety and environmental concerns: LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture LU-11.3 Control of Light and Glare OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources Implementation Measure #1 HS-3.8 Air Quality Analysis HS-8.1 Sensitive Receptors HS-8.2 Protect Residential Areas HS-8.9 Noise Compatibility Guidelines	PS	SU	
Impact PFS-6	The Proposed Project could require additional capacity to serve the Project's projected demand in addition to existing commitments.			Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued provision of community facilities (including appropriate levels of wastewater infrastructure planning, financing and construction) and services: PFS-1.1 Maintain Existing Levels of Services PFS-1.2 Annexation Requirements PFS-3.1 Provision of Wastewater Services PFS-3.10 Sewer Lines for New Development ED-1.2 Evaluate Fiscal Impacts Economic Development Implementation Measure #2 Economic Development Implementation Measure #3 LU-15.1 Village Specific Plans/General Plan Amendments LU-15.9 Infrastructure Master Plans LU-15.10 Area Infrastructure Master Plans	PS	LS	

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
Impact PFS-7 The Proposed Project could require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.				Revised Policy ED-1.2	ED-1.2 Evaluate Fiscal Impacts. The City shall evaluate the fiscal impacts of new development and encourage a pattern of development that allows the City to provide and maintain a high level of urban services (<u>including but not limited to water, sewer, transportation, fire stations, police stations, libraries, administrative, and parks</u>), and community facilities, <u>and utility infrastructure</u> as well as attract targeted businesses and a stable labor force. <i>[Revised Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>		
				Revised Implementation Measure #3	Based on fiscal analysis, the City shall establish and implement the appropriate fiscal mitigation measures (<u>including but not limited to development fees</u>) on new development in order to improve <u>existing or new</u> public services <u>and utility infrastructure</u> . <i>[Revised Implementation Measure – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>		
					Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the development of new facilities that address all applicable public safety and environmental concerns: PFS-4.4 Storm water Detention Basins PFS-4.8 Discharge of Urban Pollutants	PS	SU
				Revised Policy PFS-4.1	PFS-4.1 Adequate Storm Drainage Facilities. The City shall provide storm drainage facilities with sufficient capacity to protect the public and private property from storm water damage. <u>The facilities will also be implemented in a manner that reduces all public safety and/or environmental impacts associated with the construction, operation, or maintenance of any required drainage improvements (i.e., drainage basins, etc.).</u> <i>[Revised policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>		

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
Impact PFS-8 The Proposed Project could violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, or otherwise degrade water quality.				New Policy PFS-1.3	PFS-1.3 Conditions of Approval. During the development review process, the City shall not approve new development unless the following conditions are met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant can demonstrate that all necessary infrastructure will be installed or adequately financed; • Infrastructure improvements are consistent with City infrastructure plans; and • Infrastructure improvements incorporate a range of feasible measures that can be implemented to reduce public safety and/or environmental impacts associated with the construction, operation, or maintenance of any required improvement. <i>[New policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i> 		
					Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize water quality impacts associated with storm water, water, and wastewater utility infrastructure needed to serve existing and planned urban areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PFS-4.8 Discharge of Urban Pollutants PFS-4.10 Erosion Control Measures OSC-4.6 Best Management Practices 	PS	LS
				Revised Policy OSC-4.6	OSC-4.6 Best Management Practices. The City shall continue to require the use of feasible and practical best management practices (BMPs) to protect surface water and groundwater from the adverse effects of construction activities and urban runoff. <u>Additionally, The City shall require, as part of its Storm Water NPDES Permit and ordinances, to implement the Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) during construction activities for any improvement projects, new development and redevelopment projects for reducing pollutants to the maximum extent practicable.</u> <i>[Revised Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>		
			New Policy PFS-1.4	See New Policy PFS-1.4 above.			

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
Impact PFS-9	The Proposed Project could substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in on- or off-site flooding.				<p>Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize water quality impacts associated with storm water utility infrastructure needed to serve existing and planned urban areas:</p> <p>PFS-4.9 100-year Floodplain PFS-4.10 Erosion Control Measures OSC-1.4 100-year Floodplains OSC-4.6 Best Management Practices</p> <p>Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued provision of community facilities (including storm water and flood control infrastructure) and services:</p> <p>PFS-4.1 Adequate Storm Drainage Facilities PFS-4.2 Development Requirements PFS-4.3 Facilities Management PFS-4.4 Storm water Detention Basins PFS-4.5 Regional Drainage and Flood Control Efforts LU-15.1 Village Specific Plans/General Plan Amendments LU-15.9 Infrastructure Master Plans LU-15.10 Area Infrastructure Master Plans</p>	PS	LS
				Revised Policy PFS-4.1	See Revised Policy PFS-4.1 above.		
				Revised Policy OSC-4.6	See Revised Policy OSC-4.6 above.		
				New Policy PFS-1.3	<p>PFS-1.3 Conditions of Approval. During the development review process, the City shall not approve new development unless the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant can demonstrate that all necessary infrastructure will be installed or adequately financed; • Infrastructure improvements are consistent with City infrastructure plans; and • Infrastructure improvements incorporate a range of feasible measures that can be implemented to reduce public safety and/or environmental impacts associated with the construction, operation, or maintenance of any required improvement. <i>[New policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i> 		

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
Impact PFS-10	The Proposed Project would create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff.				New Policy PFS-1.4 See New Policy PFS-1.4 above.		
					Revised Policy PFS-4.1 See Revised Policy PFS-4.1 above.	PS	LS
					Revised Policy OSC-4.6 See Revised Policy OSC-4.6 above.		
Impact PFS-11	The Proposed Project could place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map or place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows.				New Policy PFS-1.3 See New Policy PFS-1.3 above.		
					New Policy PFS-1.4 See New Policy PFS-1.4 above.	PS	SU
					Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the preservation of floodplain areas and the management of new development in hazardous areas: HS-1.1 Engineering Analysis of Potential Hazards HS-6.1 Flood Protection HS-6.2 Drainage and Flood Control Facilities HS-6.3 Master Drainage Plans HS-6.4 New Residential Construction HS-6.5 Stream Channels Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued provision of community facilities (including storm water and flood control infrastructure) and services: PFS-4.1 Adequate Storm Drainage Facilities PFS-4.2 Development Requirements PFS-4.3 Facilities Management PFS-4.4 Storm water Detention Basins PFS-4.5 Regional Drainage and Flood Control Efforts LU-15.1 Village Specific Plans/General Plan Amendments LU-15.9 Infrastructure Master Plans LU-15.10 Area Infrastructure Master Plans		

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
				Revised Implementation Measure #3	See Revised Implementation Measure #3 above.		
				New Policy PFS-5.9	PFS-5.9 Recycling of Hazardous Materials. The City shall require <u>coordinate with the Placer County Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) and support</u> the proper disposal and recycling of hazardous materials. <i>[New Policy – Revised Final EIR]</i>		
				New Policy PFS-5.10	PFS-5.10 City Usage of Recycled Materials and Products. The City should use recycled materials and products where economically feasible. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>		
				New Policy PFS-5.11	PFS-5.11 Private Usage of Recycled Products. The City shall work with recycling contractors to encourage businesses to use recycled products in their manufacturing processes and encourage consumers to purchase recycled products. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>		
Impact PFS-13	The Proposed Project complies with all federal, State, and Local Statutes and Regulations related to solid waste.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued provision of solid waste services and recycling activities: PFS-5.1 Solid Waste Collection PFS-5.2 Waste Reduction PFS-5.3 Recycling of Construction Debris PFS-5.4 Provisions for Solid Waste Storage, Handling and Collection Pickup PFS-5.5 Solid Waste Reduction Programs PFS-5.6 Commercial and Industrial Land Uses PFS-5.7 Cooperation with Western Regional Landfill Authority PFS-5.8 Provision of Buffers for Regional Landfill Implementation Measure #3	LS	
Impact PFS-14	The Proposed Project would not result in the wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy by residential, commercial, industrial, or public uses.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the conservation of existing energy supplies and the continued provision of public utilities:	LS	

TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
Impact PFS-15	The Proposed Project may require the construction or expansion of additional energy infrastructure facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.				PFS-6.1 Gas and Electric Service PFS-6.2 Renewable Energy OSC-3.1 Energy Conservation Measures OSC-3.2 Landscape Improvements for Energy Conservation OSC-3.3 Promote Energy Conservation Awareness OSC-3.4 Local and State Programs	PS	SU
					Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the development of new facilities that address public safety and environmental concerns: LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture LU-11.3 Control of Light and Glare T-2.2 New Development T-2.3 Level of Service for Local Streets and Intersections OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources Open Space and Conservation Implementation Measure #1 HS-3.8 Air Quality Analysis HS-8.1 Sensitive Receptors HS-8.2 Protect Residential Areas HS-8.14 Noise Analysis		
Impact PFS-16	The Proposed Project would not result in a substantial physical deterioration of an existing fire protection facility through increased need or use of the facility.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued provision of fire protection services and emergency response planning: PFS-2.11 Fire Flows PFS-8.2 Fire Protection PFS-8.3 Public Awareness of Fire and Emergency Procedures PFS-8.4 Fire Response Times PFS-8.5 Provision of Fire Station Facilities and Equipment PFS-8.6 Emergency Access PFS-8.7 Sprinkler Requirements Implementation Measure #4 HS-9.1 Emergency Response Plan HS-9.2 Coordinated Emergency Response Services with Local Agencies HS-9.4 Coordinate with Placer County	PS	LS

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
				Implementation Measure #6 Implementation Measure #7 ED-1.2 Evaluate Fiscal Impacts Economic Development Implementation Measure #2 Economic Development Implementation Measure #3 LU-15.1 Village Specific Plans/General Plan Amendments LU-15.9 Infrastructure Master Plans LU-15.10 Area Infrastructure Master Plans			
			Revised Policy ED-1.2	See Revised Policy ED-1.2 above.			
			Revised Implementation Measure #3	See Revised Implementation Measure #3 above.			
Impact PFS-17	The Proposed Project would not result in a substantial physical deterioration of an existing law enforcement facility through increased need or use of the facility.			Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued provision of law enforcement services and emergency response planning: PFS-8.8 Police Protection PFS-8.9 Building Design and Security PFS-8.10 Citizen Participation Programs PFS-8.11 Provision of Police Facilities PFS-8.12 Centralized Police Station PFS-8.13 Neighborhood Watch Implementation Measure #4 Implementation Measure #5 HS-9.1 Emergency Response Plan HS-9.2 Coordinated Emergency Response Services with Local Agencies HS-9.4 Coordinate with Placer County Implementation Measure #6 Implementation Measure #7 ED-1.2 Evaluate Fiscal Impacts Economic Development Implementation Measure #2 Economic Development Implementation Measure #3 LU-15.1 Village Specific Plans/General Plan Amendments	PS	LS	

TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
					LU-15.9 Infrastructure Master Plans LU-15.10 Area Infrastructure Master Plans		
				Revised Policy ED-1.2	See Revised Policy ED-1.2 above.		
				Revised Implementation Measure #3	See Revised Implementation Measure #3 above.		
				New Policy PFS-8.14	PFS-8.14 Police Response Time. The City shall strive to maintain an average response time of 5 minutes or less for priority one calls. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i> .		
Impact PFS-18	The Proposed Project would include fire protection/law enforcement facilities or require the construction or expansion of facilities which would have an adverse physical effect on the environment.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the development of new facilities that address public safety and environmental concerns: LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture LU-11.3 Control of Light and Glare T-2.2 New Development T-2.3 Level of Service for Local Streets and Intersections OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources Open Space and Conservation Implementation Measure #1 HS-3.8 Air Quality Analysis HS-8.1 Sensitive Receptors HS-8.2 Protect Residential Areas HS-8.14 Noise Analysis	PS	SU
Impact PFS-19	The Proposed Project would not result in a substantial physical deterioration of an existing school facility through increased need or use of the facility.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued provision of community facilities and services: PFS-1.1 Maintain Adequate Public Services PFS-9.1 Adequate School Facilities PFS-9.2 Development of New Schools PFS-9.7 Developer Fees for School Districts PFS-9.8 Collocation of Schools and Recreational Facilities ED-1.2 Evaluate Fiscal Impacts	PS	LS

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
Impact PFS-20	The Proposed Project would not result in a substantial physical deterioration of an existing library facility through increased need or use of the facility.				Economic Development Implementation Measure #2 Economic Development Implementation Measure #3 LU-15.1 Village Specific Plans/General Plan Amendments LU-15.9 Infrastructure Master Plans LU-15.10 Area Infrastructure Master Plans LU-15.12 Collocation of Facilities LU-15.15 Collocation with Schools		
					Revised Policy ED-1.2 See Revised Policy ED-1.2 above.		
					Revised Implementation Measure #3 See Revised Implementation Measure #3 above.		
				New Policy PFS-9.9 PFS-9.9 School Funding. To the extent allowed by State law, the City will require new projects to mitigate impacts on school facilities, which could occur through a combination of new school site dedications and the use of developer fees. The City will also work with school districts, developers, and the public to evaluate alternatives to funding/providing adequate school facilities. <i>[New Implementation – Draft EIR Analysis]</i> .			
				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued provision of community facilities and services: PFS-1.1 Maintain Adequate Public Services PFS-9.3 Expand Library Services PFS-9.4 Funding Mechanism for Libraries PFS-9.5 Siting of Libraries PFS-9.6 Community Facilities ED-1.2 Evaluate Fiscal Impacts Economic Development Implementation Measure #2 Economic Development Implementation Measure #3 LU-15.1 Village Specific Plans/General Plan Amendments LU-15.9 Infrastructure Master Plans LU-15.10 Area Infrastructure Master Plans	PS	LS	

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
Impact PFS-22	The Proposed Project would include community facilities or require the construction or expansion of facilities which could have an adverse physical effect on the environment.			Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the development of new facilities that address public safety and environmental concerns: LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture LU-11.3 Control of Light and Glare T-2.2 New Development T-2.3 Level of Service for Local Streets and Intersections OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources Open Space and Conservation Implementation Measure #1 HS-3.8 Air Quality Analysis HS-8.1 Sensitive Receptors HS-8.2 Protect Residential Areas HS-8.14 Noise Analysis		PS	SU
CHAPTER 7 OPEN SPACE AND CONSERVATION							
Impact OSC-1	The Proposed Project could result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil			Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize soil erosion and loss of topsoil through the protection of existing open space areas: LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources Implementation Measure #1 New Policy OSC-1.6 Soil Erosion. The City shall require new development to implement measures that minimize soil erosion from wind and water related to construction. Measures may include, but not be limited to the following: - Grading requirements that limit grading to the amount necessary to provide stable areas for structural foundations, street rights-of-way, parking facilities, or other intended uses; and/or Construction techniques that utilize site preparation, grading, and best management practices that provide erosion and sediment control to prevent construction-related contaminants from leaving development sites and polluting local waterways.		PS	LS

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
<i>[New policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>							
Impact OSC-2	The Proposed Project would not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would add value to the region and the residents of the state or result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan.			<p>New Policy OSC-1.7 Soil Erosion and Site Planning. The City shall require all development to minimize soil erosion by maintaining compatible land uses suitable building designs and appropriate construction techniques. Contour grading, where appropriate, and revegetation shall be required to mitigate the appearance of engineered slopes and to control erosion. <i>[New policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i></p> <p>Implement General Plan policies designed to address mineral resource issues and potential land use conflicts between incompatible land uses: LU-2.7 Compatible Uses LU-4.1 Buffer Incompatible Uses OSC-1.3 Creation of Buffers OSC-1.5 Protection of Minerals</p>		PS	LS
				<p>New Policy OSC-3.5 Minimize Land Conflicts. The City shall require that new extractive operations are designed to provide a buffer between existing or likely adjacent uses to minimize incompatibility with nearby sites and adequately mitigate their environmental and aesthetic impacts. The City shall also ensure adequate buffers are included for existing operations (i.e., Gladding-McBean mine and operational areas) that protect the continued operations of the mine and future residents. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i></p>			
Impact OSC-3	The Proposed Project could have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.			<p>New Policy OSC-3.6 Existing Clay Operations. The City shall not permit incompatible land uses within the impact area of existing and potential mineral extraction activities (i.e., Gladding-McBean). <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i></p> <p>Implement General Plan policies designed to protect and preserve sensitive habitats and address biological resource impacts: LU-1.4 Buffer LU-1.11 Natural Resource Conservation OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources</p>		PS	SU

TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Environmental Impact			Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)			Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
				statute or agency (e.g., USFWS, CDFG, etc.) with jurisdiction over any affected sensitive habitats or special status species. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i> .			
			New Policy OSC-5.13	OSC-5.13 Minimize Lighting Impacts. The City shall ensure that lighting in residential areas and along roadways shall be designed to prevent artificial lighting from reflecting into adjacent natural or open space areas. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i> .			
Impact OSC-4	The Proposed Project would have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.		Revised Policy OSC-5.11	See Revised Policy OSC-5.11 above.		PS	SU
			New Policy OSC-5.12	See New Policy OSC-5.12 above.			
			New Policy OSC-5.13	See New Policy OSC-5.13 above.			
Impact OSC-5	The Proposed Project would have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means.			Implement General Plan policies designed to protect and preserve sensitive habitats (including wetland and vernal pool habitats): OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources OSC-4.1 Identify and Protect Aquifers OSC-5.1 Protect Significant Vegetation OSC-5.2 Management of Wetlands OSC-5.5 New Development in Sensitive Areas OSC-5.6 No Net Loss of Wetlands OSC-5.7 404 Permit Requirements OSC-5.8 Corps of Engineers Disclaimers OSC-5.9 Wetlands Dedication		PS	SU
Impact OSC-6	The Proposed Project would interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.		Revised Policy	See Revised Policy OSC-5.11 above.		PS	SU

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation	
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
			OSC-5.11					
					New Policy See New Policy OSC-5.12 above.			
					OSC-5.12			
					New Policy See New Policy OSC-5.13 above.			
					OSC-5.13			
Impact OSC-7 The Proposed Project would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance.					Implement General Plan policies designed to protect and preserve sensitive habitats and address biological resource impacts: LU-1.11 Natural Resource Conservation OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources OSC-1.2 Coordinate with Placer County for Open Space Preservation OSC-1.3 Creation of Buffers OSC-5.1 Protect Significant Vegetation OSC-5.3 Placer Legacy Open Space and Conservation Program OSC-5.4 Encourage Planting of Native Vegetation. OSC-5.6 No Net Loss of Wetlands OSC-5.9 Wetlands Dedication OSC-5.10 Native Vegetation for Landscaping Implementation Measure #4	LS		
Impact OSC-8 The Proposed Project would not conflict with the provisions of an adopted habitat conservation plan, natural community conservation plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan.					Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued participation in regional habitat conservation planning efforts: OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources OSC-1.2 Coordinate with Placer County for Open Space Preservation OSC-4.1 Identify and Protect Aquifers OSC-5.3 Placer Legacy Open Space and Conservation Program Implementation Measure #1	LS		

TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
					Implementation Measure #2 Implementation Measure #3		
Impact OSC-9 The Proposed Project would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5.					Implement General Plan policies designed to preserve and maintain the City's historic resources and cultural heritage: LU-2.5 Protect Historic Structures LU-13.2 Adaptive Reuse LU-13.3 Historic Buildings and Areas LU-13.4 Contextual and Compatible Design LU-13.6 Public Awareness LU-13.7 Historic Preservation OSC-6.1 Evaluation of Historic Resources OSC-6.2 Historic Structures and Sites OSC-6.4 Historical Resources Inventory OSC-6.5 Mitigation Monitoring for Historical Resources OSC-6.6 State Historic Building Code Implementation Measure #1 Implementation Measure #5 Revised Policy OSC-6.2 Historic Structures and Sites. The City shall support public and private efforts to preserve, rehabilitate, and continue the use of historic structures, sites, and districts. <u>Where applicable, preservation efforts shall conform to the current Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties and Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and Reconstructing Historic Building.</u> [Revised Policy – Draft EIR Analysis] New Policy OSC-13.9 LU-13.9 Cultural and Historic Resources Protection. The City shall provide code enforcement that protects the cultural and historic value of existing places and buildings. This should include demolition by neglect, lack of maintenance, overgrown landscaping, and the inappropriate storage of items that may create a public safety or hazard issue. [New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]	PS	LS
Impact OSC-10 The Proposed Project would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource as defined in Section 15064.5, directly or indirectly destroy a					Implement General Plan policies designed to address potential impacts to archaeological resources: OSC-6.3 Archaeological Resources	PS	LS

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature, or disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.					OSC-6.7 Discovery of Archaeological Resources Implementation Measure #5 Implementation Measure #6		
			Revised Policy OSC-6.7		OSC-6.7 Discovery of Archaeological/Paleontological Resources. In the event that archaeological/paleontological resources are discovered during <u>ground disturbing activities site excavation</u> , the City shall require that grading and construction work <u>within 100 feet of the find shall on the project site</u> be suspended until the significance of the features can be determined by a qualified <u>professional archaeologist/paleontologist as appropriate</u> . The City will require that a qualified archeologist/paleontologist make recommendations for measures necessary to protect <u>the find; a site</u> or to undertake data recovery, excavation, analysis, and curation of archaeological/paleontological materials, <u>as appropriate</u> . <i>[Revised Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>		
			New Policy OSC-6.8		OSC-6.8 Archaeological Resource Surveys. Prior to project approval, the City shall require project applicant to have a qualified professional archeologist conduct the following activities within the area of potential effects (APE): (1) conduct a record search at the North Central Information Center located at California State University Sacramento and other appropriate historical repositories to determine the extent of previously recorded sites and surveys within the project area, and to develop a historical context within which sites can be evaluated for significance, (2) conduct a field survey to locate, map, and record prehistoric and historic resources, and (3) prepare cultural resource inventory and evaluation reports meeting California Office of Historic Preservation Standards to document the results of the record search and field survey, and to provide significance evaluations and management recommendations for any identified historical resources within the APE. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>		

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
				Native American.			
				<p>C. The MLD shall have an opportunity to make a recommendation to the landowner or the person responsible for the excavation work, for means of treating or disposing of, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and any associated grave goods as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98, or</p> <p>B. Native American Heritage Commission was unable to identify a descendant or the descendant failed to make a recommendation within 24 hours after being notified by the commission.</p> <p>C. The County has notified the United Auburn Indian Community (UAIC) Tribal Council and solicited their input. [New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</p>			
Impact OSC-11	The Proposed Project would substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings.			<p>Implement General Plan policies designed to preserve the existing visual quality of areas surrounding the City:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LU-1.11 Natural Resource Conservation LU-4.2 Protect Environment LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture LU-9.8 Integrate Natural Features LU-12.1 Hillside Visual Access LU-12.3 Open Space Views LU-15.13 Interconnected/Usable Open Space LU-15.17 Trail and Open Space Connections <p>Implement General Plan policies designed to protect the City's traditional neighborhoods/historic resources and reduce visual impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LU-2.2 Preserve and Enhance Quality LU-2.5 Protect Historic Structures LU-13.2 Adaptive Reuse LU-13.3 Historic Buildings and Areas <p>Implement General Plan policies designed to create</p>	PS	SU	

TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
					neighborhoods with a sense of place and enforce expectations for higher quality design to help reduce visual impacts: LU-1.12 Quality Design LU-2.3 Code Enforcement LU-3.7 Innovative Development LU-4.3 Protect Existing and Future Neighborhoods LU-4.4 Innovative Design LU-9.1 Character and Identity LU-9.2 Existing Urban Design Plan LU-9.7 Visual Compatibility LU-13.4 Contextual and Compatible Design LU-13.5 Architectural and Design Excellence LU-13.8 Downtown Gateways LU-15.4 Village Land Use Design Implementation Measure #2 Implement General Plan policies designed to support roadway and other infrastructure projects that enhance the City's image and help to reduce visual impacts: LU-9.3 Spatial Attributes LU-9.4 Linkages LU-9.5 Gateways LU-14.5 Entrances T-2.8 Landscaping		
Impact OSC-12	The Proposed Project would have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista or substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway.				N/A	PS	SU
Impact OSC-13	The Proposed Project would create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize impacts from new light sources: LU-3.6 Buffer Commercial Land Use LU-4.2 Protect Environment LU-11.2 Lighting and Landscaping LU-11.3 Control of Light and Glare OSC-7.14 Lighting and Landscaping District	PS	SU

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact			Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)			Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	

Revised Policy LU-11.3	<p>LU-11.3 Control of Light and Glare. The City shall required that all outdoor light fixtures, including street lighting, externally illuminated signs, advertising displays, and billboards, use low-energy, shielded light fixtures that direct light downward (i.e., lighting shall not emit higher than a horizontal level). Up-lighting of architectural features or landscaping can be allowed in compliance with the California Title 24 Energy Standards (as amended) and based on City design review. <u>Additionally, the City shall continue to improve and maintain proper lighting in park facilities and fields without undue nuisance light and glare spillage on adjoining residential areas.</u> Where public safety would not be compromised, the City shall encourage the use of low intensity lighting for all outdoor light fixtures. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i></p>
-------------------------------	---

TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

	Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation	
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
Impact OSC-14	The Proposed Project would result in the substantial physical deterioration of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities through increased use.					Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued funding and development of park and recreation facilities: PFS-9.6 Community Facilities PFS-9.8 Collocation of Schools and Recreational Facilities OSC-7.1 Park Facilities OSC-7.2 Recreational Needs OSC-7.3 Volunteer Organizations OSC-7.4 Maintenance of Recreational Facilities OSC-7.5 Funding for Recreational Areas and Facilities OSC-7.6 Dedication of Park Land OSC-7.7 In-Lieu Fees OSC-7.10 Park User Fees OSC-7.11 Capital Improvement Program OSC-7.12 Recreational Equipment OSC-7.14 Lighting and Landscape District OSC-7.16 Linear Parks and Trail Systems OSC-7.17 Capital Improvement Fees OSC-7.18 Park Construction OSC-7.19 Pocket Parks	PS	LS
					Revised Policy ED-1.2	See Revised Policy ED-1.2 above.		
					Revised Implementation Measure #3	See Revised Implementation Measure #3 above.		
Impact OSC-15	The Proposed Project would include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which would have an adverse physical effect on the environment.					Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the development of new facilities that address all applicable public safety and environmental concerns: LU-5.3 Protect Agriculture LU-11.3 Control of Light and Glare OSC-1.1 Protect Natural Resources OSC-7.2 Recreational Needs OSC-7.6 Dedication of Park Land	PS	SU

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
					Implementation Measure #2 HS-3.8 Air Quality Analysis HS-8.1 Sensitive Receptors HS-8.2 Protect Residential Areas HS-8.9 Noise Compatibility Guidelines		
				Revised Policy LU-11.3	See Revised Policy LU-11.3 above.		
				Revised Policy OSC-7.2	OSC-7.2 Recreational Needs. The City shall provide recreation facilities and programs that meet the needs of all its citizens. <u>Facilities shall be developed in compliance with all applicable regulations designed to address public safety and environmental impacts that may result through the construction, operation, and maintenance of these facilities.</u> <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>		
Impact OSC-16	The Proposed Project would increase the potential risk of fire hazards along open space corridors or other recreational facilities through increased use.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through appropriate recreation facility management: OSC-7.4 Maintenance of Recreational Facilities HS-7.2 Educate Residents of Fire Hazards HS-7.3 Wildland Fire Management Plans	PS	LS
				New Policy OSC-7.20	OSC-7.20 Design of Waterway and Trail Corridors. The City shall design waterway and trail corridors to meet the recreational needs of the community, while maximizing public safety and access concerns. This includes locating trail corridors to ensure visibility along public roadways, where appropriate. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>		
				New Policy OSC-7.21	OSC-7.21 Maintenance of Waterway and Trail Corridors. The City shall ensure that existing park maintenance activities incorporate applicable trail maintenance activities necessary to address public safety issues along City-owned trail areas. Trail maintenance activities shall be conducted in a manner consistent with all applicable environmental regulations and shall ensure emergency vehicle access along portions of the trail corridor where appropriate. Trail maintenance measures shall include, but not be limited to, vegetation or brush clearing and signage		

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
Impact OSC-17	The Proposed Project would increase the potential for crime to occur within and adjacent to open space corridors or other recreational facilities through increased use.			<p>prohibiting inappropriate uses. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i></p> <p>Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through appropriate recreation facility management: LU-11.3 Control of Light and Glare PFS Implementation Measure #5 OSC-7.4 Maintenance of Recreational Facilities</p> <p>Revised Policy LU-11.3 See Revised Policy LU-11.3 above.</p> <p>Revised Policy OSC-7.2 See Revised Policy OSC-7.2 above.</p> <p>New Policy PFS-8.13 Security along Waterway and Trail Corridors. The City shall implement a variety of public safety measures to address crime-related issues along City-owned trail areas. Public safety measures shall include, but not be limited to, active policing using pedestrian, bicycling, or equestrian patrols. Emergency call boxes or solar-powered telephones shall also be placed in appropriate places along trail corridors to provide prompt access to emergency services. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i></p> <p>New Policy OSC-7.21 See New Policy OSC-7.21 above.</p>	PS	LS
CHAPTER 8 HEALTH AND SAFETY						
Impact HS-1	The Proposed Project would not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: 1) rupture of a known earthquake, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault; 2) strong seismic groundshaking; 3) seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction; or 4) landslides.			<p>Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize geologic hazard impacts to people and structures in the study area:</p> <p>HS-1.1 Engineering Analysis of Potential Hazards HS-2.1 Seismic Safety of Structures HS-2.2 Limit Hillside Development HS-2.3 Development in Areas Subject to Geologic Hazards HS-2.4 California Building Standard Code Implementation Measure #1 Implementation measure #2</p>	LS	
Impact HS-2	The Proposed Project would not be located on a geologic unit			Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize	LS	

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
						Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B
Impact HS-3	or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on-or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse.	geologic hazard impacts to people and structures in the study area: HS-1.1 Engineering Analysis of Potential Hazards HS-2.2 Limit Hillside Development Implementation Measure #1 Implementation measure #2					
	The Proposed Project could be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), but would not create substantial risks to life or property.	Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize geologic hazard impacts to people and structures in the study area: HS-1.1 Engineering Analysis of Potential Hazards HS-2.1 Seismic Safety of Structures HS-2.2 Limit Hillside Development HS-2.3 Development in Areas Subject to Geologic Hazards HS-2.4 California Building Standard Code Implementation Measure #1 Implementation measure #2				LS	
Impact HS-4	The Proposed Project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants. Future growth in accordance with the Proposed Project would exceed the daily PCAPCD thresholds for NOx, ROG, CO, and PM10.	Implement General Plan policies designed to support regional transportation management program goals and policies: HS-3.4 Transportation Demand Management HS-3.7 Transportation Management Program Implement General Plan policies designed to encourage continued support of regional air quality planning efforts: HS-3.1 Cooperation with Local and Regional Agencies HS-3.2 Regional Agency Review of Development Proposals HS-3.3 Placer County Air Quality Attainment Plan Implement General Plan policies designed to address air quality impacts as part of the City's development review process: HS-3.5 Development Requirements HS-3.6 City Review of Development Proposals HS-3.8 Air Quality Analysis HS-5.3 Air Emissions of Existing Industries				PS	SU

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact			Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)			Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
			Revised Policy HS-3.1	HS-3.1 Cooperation with Local and Regional Agencies. The City shall cooperate with other <u>local, regional, and State agencies in developing an effective approach to regional air quality planning management implementing air quality plans that achieve State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards. Air quality plans shall incorporate programs developed by the Sacramento Area Council of Governments and the PCAPCD.</u> [Revised Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].			
			New Policy HS-3.9	HS-3.9 Dust Suppression Measures. The City shall require contractors to implement dust suppression measures during excavation, grading, and site preparation activities. Techniques may include, but are not limited to, the following: Site watering or application of dust suppressants, Phasing or extension of grading operations, Covering of stockpiles, Suspension of grading activities during high wind periods (typically winds greater than 25 miles per hour), and Revegetation of graded areas. [New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].			
			New Policy HS-3.10	HS-3.10 Travel Demand Measures. Coordinating with the PCAPCD, the City shall require large development projects to mitigate air quality impacts. As feasible, mitigations may include, but are not limited to the following: Providing bicycle access and bicycle parking facilities, Providing preferential parking for high-occupancy vehicles, car pools, or alternative fuels vehicles (including neighborhood electric vehicles or NEVs), and Establishing telecommuting programs or satellite work centers. [New Policy –Draft EIR Analysis].			
			New Policy HS-3.11	HS-3.11 Woodburning. The City shall require the use of natural gas or the installation of low-emission, EPA-certified			

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact			Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)			Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
				fireplace inserts in all open hearth fireplaces in new homes. The city shall promote the use of natural gas over wood products in space heating devices and fireplaces in all new homes and existing homes considering remodeling plans. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>			
			New Policy HS-3.12	HS-3.12 Employment-Intensive Development. The City shall encourage employment-intensive development with a high floor area ratio where adequate community transit services are planned, and discourage such development where adequate community transit service is not planned. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>			
			New Policy HS-3.13	HS-3.13 Location of Support Services. The City shall support the location of ancillary employee services (including, but not limited to, child care, restaurants, banking facilities, convenience markets) at major employment centers for the purpose of reducing midday vehicle trips. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>			
			New Policy HS-3.14	HS-3.14 Parking Controls. The City shall provide disincentives for single-occupant vehicle trips through parking supply and pricing controls in areas where supply is limited and alternative transportation modes are available. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>			
			New Policy HS-3.15	HS-3.15 Infill Near Employment. The City shall identify and adopt incentives for planning and implementing infill development projects within urbanized areas near job centers and transportation nodes. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>			
			New Policy HS-3.16	HS-3.16 Planning Programs. The City shall support land use, transportation management, infrastructure, and environmental planning programs that reduce vehicle emissions and improve air quality. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>			
			New Policy HS-3.17	HS-3.17 Street Design. The City shall promote street design that provides an environment which encourages neighborhood electric vehicles, transit use, biking and walking. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>			
			New Policy HS-3.18	HS-3.18 Design for Transportation Alternatives. The City			

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
				HS-3.18	shall encourage all new development to be designed to promote pedestrian and bicycle access and circulation (including the use of NEVs), to the greatest extent feasible. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>		
				New Policy HS-3.19	HS-3.19 Working with Employers. The City shall encourage employers to provide transit subsidies, bicycle facilities, and alternative work schedules, ridesharing, telecommuting and work-at-home programs, employee education, and preferential parking for carpools/vanpools. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>		
				New Policy HS-3.20	HS-3.20 Transportation Management Associations. The City shall encourage commercial, retail, and residential developments to participate in or create Transportation Management Associations. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>		
				New Implementation Measure #8	Implementation Measure #8. The City shall replace City fleet vehicles with low-emission technology vehicles, wherever possible. <i>[New Implementation Measure – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>		
				New Implementation Measure #9	Implementation Measure #9. The City shall encourage lowest emission technology buses in public transit fleets. <i>[New Implementation Measure – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>		
				New Implementation Measure #10	Implementation Measure #10. The City shall encourage the continued use of neighborhood electric vehicles. <i>[New Implementation Measure – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>		
Impact HS-5	The Proposed Project would conflict with or obstruct implementation of an applicable air quality plan.				Implement General Plan policies designed to support regional transportation management program goals and policies: HS-3.4 Transportation Demand Management	PS	SU

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS
Impact HS-6	Buildout of the Proposed Project would generate emissions above the daily PCAPCD significance thresholds for a variety of pollutants, primarily due to emissions related to increased traffic.	Implement General Plan policies designed to encourage continued support of regional air quality planning efforts: HS-3.1 Cooperation with Local and Regional Agencies HS-3.2 Regional Agency Review of Development Proposals HS-3.3 Placer County Air Quality Attainment Plan				PS	SU
		Implement General Plan policies designed to support regional transportation management program goals and policies: HS-3.4 Transportation Demand Management HS-3.7 Transportation Management Program Implement General Plan policies designed to encourage continued support of regional air quality planning efforts: HS-3.1 Cooperation with Local and Regional Agencies HS-3.2 Regional Agency Review of Development Proposals HS-3.3 Placer County Air Quality Attainment Plan					
Impact HS-7	The Proposed Project would expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.	Implement General Plan policies designed to address air quality impacts as part of the City's development review process: HS-3.5 Development Requirements HS-3.6 City Review of Development Proposals HS-3.8 Air Quality Analysis HS-5.3 Air Emissions of Existing Industries Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize a variety of airport-related risks (i.e., odor, air quality, noise, etc.) to City residents and property: HS-4.1 Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan HS-4.3 Development in Airport Vicinity Implement General Plan policies designed to promote				PS	SU

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
		Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
Impact HS-8	The Proposed Project would create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people.				compatible development within areas that minimize impacts to surrounding land uses: LU-2.1 Prevent Incompatible Uses LU-2.10 Airport Buffer LU-3.6 Buffer Commercial Land Uses LU-4.1 Buffer Incompatible Uses LU-4.2 Protect Environment			
					Revised Policy LU-2.1 LU-2.1 Prevent Incompatible Uses. The City shall prevent the intrusion of new incompatible <u>activities and land uses (i.e., traffic, noise) and environmental hazards (i.e., flood, soil instability)</u> into existing residential areas. <i>[Existing Land Use Element Policy 4.1 – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>			
					New Policy LU-1.14 LU-1.14 Land Use Conflicts. The City shall continue to apply the regulations and procedures of the City's Zoning Ordinance and shall use the environmental process to prevent or mitigate land use conflicts. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i>			
					Implement General Plan policies designed to address air quality impacts as part of the City's development review process: HS-3.5 Development Requirements HS-3.6 City Review of Development Proposals HS-3.8 Air Quality Analysis HS-5.3 Air Emissions of Existing Industries Implement existing General Plan policies designed to minimize a variety of airport-related risks (i.e., odor, air quality, noise, etc.) to City residents and property: HS-4.1 Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan HS-4.3 Development in Airport Vicinity LU-2.1 Prevent Incompatible Uses LU-2.10 Airport Buffer LU-3.6 Buffer Commercial Land Uses LU-4.1 Buffer Incompatible Uses LU-4.2 Protect Environment PFS-5.8 Provisions of Buffers for Regional Landfill	PS	LS	
					Revised Policy LU- See Revised Policy LU-2.1 above.			

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
				2.1			
				New Policy LU-1.14	See New Policy LU-1.14 above.		
				New Policy PFS-3.11	See New Policy PFS-3.11 above.		
Impact HS-9	The Proposed Project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials or create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials to the environment.				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize the risk of City residents and property associated with the transport, distribution, use, and storage of hazardous materials: HS-5.1 Transporting Hazardous Materials HS-5.2 Attraction/Retention of Clean Industries HS-5.3 Air Emissions of Existing Industries HS-5.4 Disclosure of Hazardous Materials HS-5.5 Treatment of Industrial Waste HS-5.6 Hazardous Waste Facility Siting HS-5.7 Contamination Prevention HS-5.8 Increase Public Awareness HS-5.9 Household Hazardous Waste HS-5.10 Designated Routes for Hazardous Materials HS-5.11 County Hazardous Waste Management Plan HS-5.12 Hazardous Materials Inventory Implementation Measure #3 Implementation Measure #4	PS	LS
				New Policy HS-5.13	HS-5.13 Hazardous Materials Studies. The City shall ensure that the proponents of development projects (including new, redevelopment, remodel, or demolition projects) address existing hazardous materials concerns through the preparation of Phase I and, or Phase II hazardous materials studies for each identified site as part of the design phase for each project. <u>Particular attention should be paid to land that contained past agricultural uses.</u> Recommendations outlined in the studies will be implemented as part of the construction phase for each project. <i>[New Policy – Revised Final EIR]</i>		
Impact HS-10	The Proposed Project would not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or				Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize the risk of City residents and property associated with the transport, distribution, use, and storage of hazardous materials:	PS	LS

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact			Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)			Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
	proposed school.			HS-5.1 Transporting Hazardous Materials HS-5.2 Attraction/Retention of Clean Industries HS-5.3 Air Emissions of Existing Industries HS-5.4 Disclosure of Hazardous Materials HS-5.5 Treatment of Industrial Waste HS-5.6 Hazardous Waste Facility Siting HS-5.7 Contamination Prevention HS-5.8 Increase Public Awareness HS-5.9 Household Hazardous Waste HS-5.10 Designated Routes for Hazardous Materials HS-5.11 County Hazardous Waste Management Plan HS-5.12 Hazardous Materials Inventory Implementation Measure #3 Implementation Measure #4 New Policy HS-5.13 See New Policy HS-5.13 above. New Policy HS-5.14 HS-5.14 School Siting and Hazards. For future City projects involving school acquisition/development projects, the City shall ensure that specific siting requirements established under the California Education Code and California Code of Regulations are addressed. These regulations require that potential school hazards relating to soils, seismicity, hazards and hazardous materials, and flooding be addressed during the school site selection process. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i> .			
Impact HS-11	Development under the Proposed Project could be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code section 65962.5 and, as a result, could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment.			Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize the risk of City residents and property associated with the transport, distribution, use, and storage of hazardous materials: HS-5.1 Transporting Hazardous Materials HS-5.2 Attraction/Retention of Clean Industries HS-5.3 Air Emissions of Existing Industries HS-5.4 Disclosure of Hazardous Materials HS-5.5 Treatment of Industrial Waste HS-5.6 Hazardous Waste Facility Siting HS-5.7 Contamination Prevention HS-5.8 Increase Public Awareness HS-5.9 Household Hazardous Waste	PS	LS	

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
				HS-5.10 Designated Routes for Hazardous Materials HS-5.11 County Hazardous Waste Management Plan HS-5.12 Hazardous Materials Inventory Implementation Measure #3 Implementation Measure #4 New Policy HS-5.13 See New Policy HS-5.13 above.			
Impact HS-12	The Proposed Project could result in development located within an airport land use plan area or/and could result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the study area.			Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize the risk of airport related hazards to City residents and property: LU-2.10 Airport Buffer LU-5.1 Rural Land Uses T-6.2 Runway Expansion HS-4.1 Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan HS-4.2 Compliance With FAA Regulations HS-4.3 Development in Airport Vicinity	LS		
Impact HS-13	The Proposed Project could impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.			Implement General Plan policies designed to ensure a coordinated approach to emergency response and evacuation planning: HS-9.1 Emergency Response Plan HS-9.2 Coordinate Emergency Response Services with Local Agencies HS-9.3 Educate Public on Emergency Response HS-9.3 Coordinate with Placer County Revised Policy HS-9.1 HS-9.1 Emergency Response Plan. The City shall <u>continue to update</u> and ensure that the Emergency Response Plan meets current federal, State, and local emergency requirements. <i>[Revised New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i> New Policy HS-9.5 HS-9.5 Siting of Critical Emergency Response Facilities. The City shall ensure that the siting of critical emergency response facilities such as hospitals, fire stations, police offices, substations, emergency operations centers and other emergency service facilities and utilities have minimal exposure to flooding, seismic and geological effects, fire, and explosions. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i>	PS	SU	

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact	Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)					Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
	Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable
Impact HS-14 The Proposed Project could expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands.					Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued provision of fire protection services and emergency response planning: PFS-2.11 Fire Flows PFS-8.2 Fire Protection PFS-8.3 Public Awareness of Fire and Emergency Procedures PFS-8.4 Fire Response Times PFS-8.5 Provision of Fire Station Facilities and Equipment PFS-8.6 Emergency Access PFS-8.7 Sprinkler Requirements Implementation Measure #4 HS-9.1 Emergency Response Plan HS-9.2 Coordinated Emergency Response Services with Local Agencies HS-9.4 Coordinate with Placer County Implementation Measure #6 Implementation Measure #7 ED-1.2 Evaluate Fiscal Impacts Economic Development Implementation Measure #2 Economic Development Implementation Measure #3 LU-15.1 Village Specific Plans/General Plan Amendments LU-15.9 Infrastructure Master Plans LU-15.10 Area Infrastructure Master Plans Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize this impact through the continued awareness of wildland fire management risks and prevention HS-7.2 Educate Residents of Fire Hazards HS-7.3 Wildland Fire Management Plans	PS	LS
				Revised Policy ED-1.2	See Revised Policy ED-1.2 above.		
				Revised Implementation Measure	See Revised Implementation Measure #3 above.		

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
			#3				
			New Policy OSC-7.21	See New Policy OSC-7.21 above.			
			New Policy HS-7.4	HS-7.4 Buffer Zones for Fire Protection. The City shall require new development to incorporate additional greenbelts, fuel breaks, fuel reduction and buffer zones around communities to minimize potential fire losses. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i> .			
			New Policy HS-7.5	HS-7.5 Weed Abatement. The City shall maintain a weed abatement program to ensure clearing of dry brush areas. Weed abatement activities shall be conducted in a manner consistent with all applicable environmental regulations. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis]</i> .			
Impact HS-15	The Proposed Project would result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies; or would result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project; or would result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project.			Implement General Plan policies designed to provide guidance on the analysis, mitigation and monitoring of a variety of noise-related impacts that could occur within the study area: HS-8.1 Sensitive Receptors HS-8.2 Protect Residential Areas HS-8.5 Noise Monitoring HS-8.9 Noise Compatibility Guidelines HS-8.10 Sound Attenuation Features HS-8.11 Noise Buffering HS-8.14 Noise Analysis Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize construction-related noise impacts in the study area: HS-8.8 Construction Noise HS-8.15 Limiting Construction Activities Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize mobile or transportation-related noise impacts in the study area: HS-8.3 Railroad Noise HS-8.4 Controlling Truck Traffic HS-8.12 Coordinate with Railroad Companies HS-8.13 Coordinate with Caltrans Implement General Plan policies designed to promote compatible development within areas that minimize impacts	PS	SU	

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact			Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)			Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
				(including noise) to surrounding land uses: LU-2.1 Prevent Incompatible Uses LU-2.10 Airport Buffer LU-3.6 Buffer Commercial Land Uses LU-4.1 Buffer Incompatible Uses LU-4.2 Protect Environment			
			Revised Policy HS-8.11	HS-8.11 Noise Buffering. The City shall require <u>a variety of sound attenuation features (including noise buffering or insulation)</u> in new development along major streets and highways, and along railroad tracks. <i>[Modified Existing Policy 3, Noise Element].</i>			
			Revised Policy HS-8.13	HS-8.13 Coordinate with Caltrans. The City shall work with Caltrans to mitigate noise impacts on sensitive receptors near SR65 and SR193, by requiring <u>a variety of sound attenuation features (including noise buffering or insulation)</u> in new construction. <i>[New Policy – Modified Draft EIR Analysis].</i>			
			Revised Policy HS-8.14	HS-8.14 Noise Analysis. The City may shall require noise analysis of proposed development projects as part of the environmental review process and to require mitigation measures that reduce noise impacts to acceptable levels. <u>The noise analysis shall:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Be the responsibility of the applicant.</u> - <u>Be prepared by a qualified person experienced in the fields of environmental noise assessment and architectural acoustics.</u> - <u>Include representative noise level measurements with</u> 			

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
				<p><u>sufficient sampling periods and locations to adequately describe local conditions.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Estimate existing and projected noise levels in terms of Ldn/CNEL and compare the levels to the adopted policies of the City's General Plan.</u> - <u>Recommend appropriate mitigation to achieve compatibility with the adopted noise policies and standards of the City's General Plan. Where the noise source in question consists of intermittent single events, the acoustical analysis must address the effects of maximum noise levels in sleeping rooms in terms of possible sleep disturbance.</u> - <u>Estimate noise exposure after the prescribed mitigation measures have been implemented. If the project does not comply with the adopted standards and policies of the City's General Plan, the analysis must provide acoustical information for a statement of overriding considerations for the project.</u> - <u>Describe a post-project assessment program, which could be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures. [Existing Policy 2, Noise Element – Draft EIR Analysis].</u> 			
			<p>New Policy HS-8-16</p>	<p>HS-8-16 State Noise Insulation Standards. The City shall enforce the State Noise Insulation Standards (California Administrative Code, Title 24) and Chapter 35 of the Uniform Building Code. <i>[New Policy – Draft EIR Analysis].</i></p>			
			<p>New Implementation Measure #11</p>	<p>Implementation Measure #11. The City will prepare guidelines for developers for reducing potential noise impacts (including construction-related noise impacts) on surrounding land uses. <i>[New Implementation Measure – Draft EIR Analysis].</i></p>			
Impact HS-16	The Proposed Project will result in the exposure of persons to			Implement General Plan policies designed to provide guidance	PS	SU	

TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Environmental Impact			Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)			Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.			<p>on the analysis, mitigation and monitoring of a variety of noise-related impacts that could occur within the study area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HS-8.1 Sensitive Receptors HS-8.2 Protect Residential Areas HS-8.5 Noise Monitoring HS-8.9 Noise Compatibility Guidelines HS-8.10 Sound Attenuation Features HS-8.11 Noise Buffering HS-8.14 Noise Analysis <p>Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize construction-related noise impacts in the study area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HS-8.8 Construction Noise HS-8.15 Limiting Construction Activities <p>Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize mobile or transportation-related noise impacts in the study area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HS-8.3 Railroad Noise HS-8.4 Controlling Truck Traffic HS-8.12 Coordinate with Railroad Companies HS-8.13 Coordinate with Caltrans HS-8.9 Noise Compatibility Guidelines HS-8.10 Sound Attenuation Features HS-8.11 Noise Buffering HS-8.14 Noise Analysis <p>Implement General Plan policies designed to promote compatible development within areas that minimize impacts (including noise) to surrounding land uses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LU-2.1 Prevent Incompatible Uses LU-2.10 Airport Buffer LU-3.6 Buffer Commercial Land Uses LU-4.1 Buffer Incompatible Uses LU-4.2 Protect Environment 				
			Revised Policy HS-8.11	See Revised Policy HS-8.11 above.			

**TABLE ES-5 (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

Environmental Impact		Mitigation Measure (proposed General Plan policies)				Level of Significance Before Mitigation	Level of Significance After Mitigation
Less than Significant = LS	Beneficial = B	Significant = S	Cumulative Significant = CS	Significant and Unavoidable = SU	Potentially Significant = PS	N/A = Not Applicable	
			Revised Policy HS-8.13	See Revised Policy HS-8.13 above.			
			Revised Policy HS-8.14	See Revised Policy HS-8.14 above.			
			New Policy HS-8-16	See New Policy HS-8.16 above.			
			New Implementation Measure #11	See New Implementation Measure #11 above.			
Impact HS-17	The Proposed Project will be located within an airport land use plan area or within the vicinity of a private airstrip and could expose people residing or working within the study area to excessive noise levels.			Implement General Plan policies designed to minimize the risk of airport related hazards to City residents and property: LU-2.10 Airport Buffer LU-5.1 Rural Land Uses T-6.2 Runway Expansion HS-4.1 Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan HS-4.2 Compliance With FAA Regulations HS-4.3 Development in Airport Vicinity Implement General Plan policies designed to provide guidance on the analysis, mitigation and monitoring of a variety of noise-related impacts that could occur within the study area: HS-8.1 Sensitive Receptors HS-8.2 Protect Residential Areas HS-8.5 Noise Monitoring HS-8.6 Development Around Airport HS-8.7 Update Airport Master Plan HS-8.9 Noise Compatibility Guidelines HS-8.10 Sound Attenuation Features HS-8.11 Noise Buffering HS-8.14 Noise Analysis	LS		
CHAPTER 9 HOUSING							
N/A							